1 2 3 4 5 6	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) David C. Leimbach (SBN 265409) Michelle S. Lim (SBN 315691) Scott L. Gordon (SBN 319872) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, California 94608 Tel: (415) 421-7100 Fax: (415) 421-7105	CONFORMED COPY Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles DEC 1 1 2018 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Nancy Navarro, Deputy
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	MARCO CARRILLO, on behalf of himself	Case No.: BC657864
12	and all others similarly situated,	[CLASS ACTION]
13	Plaintiff,	Assigned for all purposes to Judge Maren E.
14		Nelson, Department SSC-17
15	LOS ANGELES PARTYWORKS, INC., and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	- [PROPOSED] FINAL CLASS-ACTION JUDGMENT
16	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Maren E. Nelson Department: SSC-17
17		Date Action Filed: April 14, 2017
18		•
19 20		BY FAX
20 21		
21		
22		
24		
25	DEACHVED	
26	RECEIVED	
27	NOV 2 0 2018	
28	Date Processed By:Tanya Herrera	
		ASS-ACTION JUDGMENT works, Inc., Case No. BC657864

COPY

[PROPOSED] FINAL CLASS-ACTION JUDGMENT

1

2 The Motion for Final Approval of Class-Action Settlement came before the Court on 3 November 9, 2018. Consistent with the Court's Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of 4 Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), filed and entered on May 25, 2018, the 5 Court's Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed and entered on November 14, 2018 ("Final Approval Order"), the Amended Stipulation of Settlement and Release 6 7 ("Settlement"), and due and adequate notice having been given to all Settlement Class Members as 8 required in the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and 9 proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefore, it is 10 hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS: 11 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement. 12 2. Consistent with the definitions provided in the Settlement, the terms "Settlement Class" or "Settlement Class Members" mean all current and former hourly, non-exempt 13 employees that worked for Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc. ("Defendant") during the period 14 15 commencing on April 24, 2013 and ending May 25, 2018. The Settlement Class encompasses any persons working for Defendant as an independent contractor that would otherwise be within the 16 scope of the Settlement Class definition. 17 "Claimants" means those Settlement Class Members who do not submit an 3. 18 19 Exclusion Letter. 20 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Lawsuit and over all 21 Parties to this Lawsuit, including all Claimants, until the Settlement is fully administered. 5. All Claimants and all Class Released Claims are covered by and included within the 22 23 Settlement and this Final Class-Action Judgment. The Court hereby finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith pursuant to 24 6.

and within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure §-877.6. The Court further finds that
the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards and
applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California and

federal law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and Federal Rule-1 2 of Civil Procedure 23, approved for use by the California state courts in Vasquez v. Superior Court R of for the in sit (1971)-4-Cal.3d-800-821-3 14,2018 The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious 4 5 and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have 6 conducted extensive and costly investigation and research, and counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court-also finds that Settlement at this time will 8 avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Lawsuit. The Court has reviewed the benefits that are being granted 9 10 as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value to the Claimants. 11 8. The Court also finds that the Settlement Class is properly certified as a class and collective for settlement purposes only, and orders that the Settlement Class be certified for 12 13 settlement purposes only. 14 9. Distribution of the Notice Packet directed to the Settlement Class Members as set 15 forth in the Settlement has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through 16 reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice Packet was 17 comprised of The Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement, Hearing Date for Court Approval, 18 and Claims Procedure and Class Form and the Class Form. The Notice Packet provided due and 19 adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed 20 21 Settlement, to all persons entitled to the Notice Packet, and the Notice Packet and its distribution 22 fully satisfied the requirements of due process. The Court hereby approves the Settlement, and directs the Parties to effectuate the 23 10. Settlement according to its terms, as set for the in the Or Use of "1/14/18

Settlement according to its terms, and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as
Released Claim of each and every Claimant is and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as
against Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc. As of the date of entry of this Final Class-Action Judgment,

28

[PROPOSED] FINAL CLASS-ACTION JUDGMENT Carrillo v. Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc., Case No. BC657864

Plaintiff and each and every Settlement Class Member who has not submitted a valid Exclusion 1 Letter is hereby released and forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Class Released 2 3 Claims, except as to such rights or claims as may be created by the Settlement, against Los 4 Angeles Partyworks, Inc. "Class Released Claims" means all causes of action that were alleged or 5 that could have been alleged based on the facts contained in the operative Complaint (or First 6 Amended Complaint) through preliminary approval of the Settlement, including causes of action 7 for failure to pay regular, minimum, and overtime wages, willful misclassification of employees as independent contractors, failure to pay all wages earned at the time of separation (Labor Code 8 9 section 203), failure to properly calculate overtime wages, failure to provide meal periods, failure 10 to provide rest periods, unfair competition, and failure to furnish itemized wages statements in 11 violation of Labor Code section 226, that arose or will arise from employment by Los Angeles 12 Partyworks, Inc. during the Class Period, and all damages, penalties, PAGA penalties, interest and 13 other amounts recoverable under said causes of action under any state or federal statutory or 14 common law. Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc. will only get a release of all Fair Labor Standards Act 15 claims for those Claimants that cash their Settlement checks.

16 12. The Court finds and orders that the Settlement is and constitutes a fair, reasonable
17 and adequate compromise of the Class Released Claims against Defendant.

18 13. The Parties entered into the Settlement to resolve the dispute that has arisen 19 between them and to avoid the burden, expense and risk of continued litigation. The Settlement is 20 a compromise of disputed claims and the execution of the Settlement and/or compliance with the Settlement shall not be construed as an admission of any liability whatsoever or responsibility on 21 the part of any Party for any purpose, including, but not limited to, a violation of any order, law, 22 23 statute, duty, or contract, or any act of discrimination or harassment or retaliation whatsoever against one Party against the other or any other person. Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc. specifically 24 25 denies any liability for any claims alleged in the Lawsuit and denies any violation of any order, law, statute, duty or contract. 26

27 28

> [PROPOSED] FINAL CLASS-ACTION JUDGMENT Carrillo v. Los Angeles Partyworks, Inc., Case No. BC657864

1 14. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Lawsuit as of the filing date of this
 2 Final Class-Action Judgment, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement. Without affecting
 3 the finality of this Final Class-Action Judgment in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing
 4 jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and all
 5 orders entered in connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

15. The Court hereby finds the Individual Settlement Payments provided for under the
Settlement and the Notice Packet to be fair and reasonable in light of all the circumstances. The
Court, therefore, orders the calculations and the payments to be made and administered in
accordance with the terms of the Settlement and the Notice Packet and Conder C. 114/

10 16. The Court hereby approves and orders payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 from.
11 the Maximum Settlement Amount for the PAGA Payment, \$3,750.00 of which is payable to the
12 California Labor Workforce Development Agency.

1317. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Marco Carrillo as Class Representative and14Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky Wotkyns LLP as Class Counsel in the Lawsuit.

15 18. The Court hereby approves and orders the Service Award to Plaintiff Marco
16 Carrillo, in the amount of \$5,000.00 from the Maximum Settlement Amount for his efforts on
17 behalf of the Settlement Class.

Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument 18 19. submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount 1/1/1/ 19 20 of \$100,000.00, and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$15,209.93, from the Maximum Settlement 21 Amount as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs 22 incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel and any other person or entity related to the Lawsuit. 23 The Court further orders that the Fee and Expense Award set forth in this Paragraph shall be 24 administered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and transferred and/or made payable to 25 Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky Wotkyns LLP as Class Counsel in the Lawsuit.

26 27

28

1 20. The Court also hereby approves and orders payment from the Maximum Settlement 2 Amount for actual Administration Costs incurred by the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, in 3 the amount of \$13,500.00. 4 21. Provided the Settlement becomes effective under the terms of the Settlement, the 5 Court also hereby orders that the deadlines for making the Court-approved payments for the Individual Settlement Payments, the PAGA Payment, the Fee and Expense Award, the Service 6 or den of 111 Award, and the Administration Costs are as set forth in the Implementation Schedule within the 7 8 Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval of Class-Action Settlement. 9 The Court also hereby finds that there were no objections to the Settlement faised 22. 10 by any persons in writing or on the record at the hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of Class-Action Settlement. and that no person filed $^{\circ}$ request to 11 the settlement. optout ØY 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. 14 15 Dated: 17/1/18 16 HONORABLE MAREN E. NELSON 17 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 18 19 20 .21 22

5

23

24

25

26

27

28